

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER,
LLC, AND RASIER-CA, LLC'S
AMENDED MOTION TO DISMISS
CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY
WITH PTO 31 AND SHOW-CAUSE
ORDERS**

This Document Relates to:

*Jane Doe T.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-00559-CRB*

*Jane Doe J.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-00574-CRB*

*Jane Doe (L.R.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04306-CRB*

*Jane Doe (A.R.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04313-CRB*

*Jane Doe (D.H.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04322-CRB*

*Jane Doe (K.H.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04326-CRB*

*Jane Doe (Y.E.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04330-CRB*

*Jane Doe (S.G.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04353-CRB*

*Jane Doe (K.C.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04354-CRB*

*Jane Doe (T.W.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04356-CRB*

*Jane Doe (S.W.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04360-CRB*

*Jane Doe (S.W.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:24-cv-04364-CRB*

Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
Date: January 16, 2026
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

1 *Jane Doe (E.N.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
2 *al., No. 3:24-cv-04370-CRB*

3 *Jane Doe E.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
4 *No. 3:24-cv-05110-CRB*

5 *Jane Doe L.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
6 *No. 3:24-cv-05115-CRB*

7 *Jane Doe A.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
8 *No. 3:24-cv-05121-CRB*

9 *Jane Doe D.G. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
10 *No. 3:24-cv-05169-CRB*

11 *Jane Doe C.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
12 *No. 3:24-cv-05340-CRB*

13 *Jane Doe J.J. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
14 *No. 3:24-cv-05343-CRB*

15 *Jane Doe S.K. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
16 *No. 3:24-cv-05710-CRB*

17 *Jane Doe (C.M.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
18 *al., No. 3:24-cv-05718-CRB*

19 *Jane Doe T.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
20 *No. 3:24-cv-05764-CRB*

21 *Jane Doe K.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
22 *No. 3:24-cv-05796-CRB*

23 *Jane Doe E.P. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
24 *No. 3:24-cv-05946-CRB*

25 *Jane Doe A.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
26 *No. 3:24-cv-05947-CRB*

27 *John Doe (C.S.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
28 *No. 3:24-cv-05964-CRB*

Jane Doe E.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-06073-CRB

Jane Doe S.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-06076-CRB

1 *NLG (A.B.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
2 *3:25-cv-01711-CRB*

3 *NLG (M.G.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
4 *3:25-cv-01714-CRB*

5 *NLG (M.L.P.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*
6 *No. 3:25-cv-01717-CRB*

7 *NLG (ZD) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
8 *3:25-cv-01729-CRB*

9 *Jane Doe NLG (KS) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
10 *al., No. 3:25-cv-02616-CRB*

11 *Jane Doe NLG (KM) v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,*
12 *et al., No. 3:25-cv-02706-CRB*

13 *NLG (BC) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
14 *3:25-cv-02899-CRB*

15 *NLG (JH) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
16 *3:25-cv-02949-CRB*

17 *Jane Doe NLG (KM) v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,*
18 *et al., No. 3:25-cv-02956-CRB*

19 *Jane Doe NLG (SB) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
20 *al., No. 3:25-cv-02973-CRB*

21 *NLG (MH) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
22 *3:25-cv-05163-CRB*

23 *NLG (R.G.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
24 *3:25-cv-05776-CRB*

25 *NLG (LL) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
26 *3:25-cv-05865-CRB*

27 *NLG (NM) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*
28 *3:25-cv-05867-CRB*

NLG (KF) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:25-cv-06031-CRB

Jane Doe CH v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-04363-CRB

1 *Jane Doe NLG JV v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
2 *al., No. 3:24-cv-08622-CRB*

3 *Jane Doe NLG BH v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
4 *al., No. 3:25-cv-00369-CRB*

5 *Jane Doe NLG AB v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
6 *al., No. 3:25-cv-01079-CRB*

7
8 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

9 Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO
10 31 and Show-Cause Orders, the papers filed in support and opposition, and the record in this case,
11 the Court therefore hereby ORDERS as follows:

12 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 4456] is DENIED without prejudice.
13

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15 DATED: _____, 2025

16 _____
17 HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
18 United States District Court Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28